2018:IQ Nevada Unemployment Rate Demographics Report*

Department of Employment, Training & Rehabilitation Research and Analysis Bureau

Don Soderberg, Director
Dennis Perea, Deputy Director
David Schmidt, Chief Economist
Christopher Robison, Supervising Economist
Dionny McDonnell, Economist

*Prepared as required by Assembly Bill 354, approved by the 79th Session of the Nevada Legislature on June 3, 2017, and approved by Governor Brian Sandoval on June 6, 2017.

This workforce product was funded by a grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Labor's Employment and Training Administration. The product was created by the recipient and does not necessarily reflect the official position of the U.S. Department of Labor. The U.S. Department of Labor makes no guarantees, warranties, or assurances of any kind, express or implied, with respect to such information, including any information on linked sites and including, but not limited to, accuracy of the information or its completeness, timeliness, usefulness, adequacy, continued availability, or ownership. This product is copyrighted by the institution that created it. Internal use by an organization and/or personal use by an individual for non-commercial purposes is permissible. All other uses require the prior authorization of the copyright owner.

Executive Summary

Background...Assembly Bill 354 calls for a quarterly report by the Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation which disaggregates the rate of unemployment by demographic groups for each county within the State utilizing currently available information. This Report satisfies that requirement.

Furthermore, as required by Assembly Bill 354, this Report will be posted on the website of the Department, as well as that for the Research and Analysis Bureau within the Department. This Report is provided to the Governor's Workforce Development Board, as well as other applicable agencies providing workforce development services, as required.

Assembly Bill 354 requires all applicable agencies to coordinate efforts and resources in order to reduce the rate of unemployment for specific demographic groups when certain thresholds are met:

- 1. When the unemployment rate is at least double (200 percent) the rate of unemployment for the county as a whole.
- 2. When the unemployment rate is at least four percentage points higher than the rate of unemployment for the county as a whole.
- 3. When the unemployment rate has been higher than the rate of unemployment for the county as a whole for at least three consecutive years.

Findings...Available information highlights some general conclusions for the State as a whole:

- The unemployment rate for younger residents, those 16-24 years old, has historically been relatively high. Currently, it is averaging 9.7 percent on an annualized basis, and compares to a total unemployment rate of 5.1 percent (again, on an annualized basis). The rate for all other age cohorts fall within a fairly narrow range around the overall average.
- The unemployment rate for Black residents has historically been relatively high. Currently, it is averaging 9.4 percent, and compares to a total unemployment rate of 5.1 percent. The rates for Whites (4.6 percent) and Hispanics (5.7 percent) are very close to the overall average. It should be noted that the rate for Hispanics was relatively high during the pre-recessionary period and in the early years of the current economic recovery.
- The unemployment rates for males (5.5 percent) and females (4.7 percent) are very close to the overall average rate of 5.1 percent. During the recessionary period, the unemployment rate for males was relatively high, a result of the pronounced downturn in the construction industry.

For the State as a whole, the summary of available information¹ through March 2018 is as follows:

Statewide

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-24
- Race/Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: *Black*
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: None

For the State's three most populous areas, the summary of the available information² for 2016 is as follows:

Clark County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 20-24
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: Black
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: Male

Washoe County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 20-24, 75+
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: Black, Hispanic
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: Male

Carson City

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 20-24
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: *Hispanic*
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: Male

For the remainder of the State, the summary of available information² is as follows:

Churchill County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 20-24
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: None
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: Female

Douglas County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 45-54, 65-74
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: *Black, Hispanic*
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: Male

Elko County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 20-24, 30-34, 75+
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: *Hispanic*
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: Female

Esmeralda County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 25-29, 30-34, 45-54
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: White, Black
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: Male

¹ Please see page 6 for a discussion of statewide data sources. Data from Current Population Survey (CPS).

² Please see page 12 for a discussion regarding data limitations at the sub-State level. Data from American Community Survey (ACS)

Eureka County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 45-54, 75+
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: White, Black
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: None

Humboldt County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 20-24
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: Hispanic
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: Female

Lander County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 20-24, 45-54, 60-64
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: Black
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: None

Lincoln County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 35-44, 45-54, 55-59
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: Black
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: None

Lyon County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 20-24, 25-29, 75+
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: Black, Hispanic
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: Female

Mineral County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 20-24, 25-29
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: *Hispanic*
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: Female

Nye County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 20-24, 30-34, 75+
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: *Black*
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: None

Pershing County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 25-29, 45-54
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: None
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: None

Storey County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 25-29, 45-54
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: Black
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: Male

White Pine County

- Age groups exceeding at least one threshold: 16-19, 25-29, 30-34, 65-74, 75+
- Race / Ethnicity groups exceeding at least one threshold: *Hispanic*
- Gender groups exceeding at least one threshold: *Female*

Statewide Information

Data Sources

In conjunction with the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), the Research and Analysis Bureau of Nevada's Department of Employment, Training, and Rehabilitation (DETR) produces, analyzes, and disseminates labor market information for the Silver State.

The Local Area Unemployment Statistics (LAUS) Program provides the "official" monthly unemployment rate estimates at the State level. LAUS model-based estimates are driven by results from the Current Population Survey (CPS), employment trends, and unemployment insurance claims.


The CPS is a monthly survey of households, sponsored by the Census Bureau and BLS. Among other things, it allows for the generation of the demographic (age, race/ethnicity, gender) profile of the unemployment rate at the State-level presented in this section of the Report³. In Nevada, approximately 1,100 households are included in this survey, a relatively small sample size in a State with approximately 1.15 million households. Hence, in order to discount the extreme month-to-month volatility inherent in small sample size estimates, standard practice is to present information on a 12-month moving average.⁴ Still, even after making this adjustment, some volatility remains, and is simply attributable to "noise" in the series.

³ For purposes of this Report, a "total" unemployment rate, generated from CPS results, is utilized for comparison purposes in presenting the various demographic profiles. It is not to be confused with the LAUS rate.

⁴ Also, as noted in the County Information section of this Report, CPS estimates are not available for jurisdictions within the State, as the small sample size will not allow for such estimates.

Unemployment Rate

Nevada's unemployment rate, generated via the LAUS Program, held steady in March 2018, at 4.9 percent. This represents a decline of 0.3 percentage point from March of 2017, marking the 84th straight month of year-over-year declines of 0.2 percentage point or more. The unemployment rate peaked at 13.7 percent at the height of the recession in late-2010.




Unemployment Rate by Age

Based upon information from the CPS, the unemployment rate tends to be higher for the 16-24 year old group, which includes teens and young adults. Rates for all other age groups fall within a relatively narrow range.

Before the recession, the unemployment rate for Nevada's youngest age cohort averaged 9.5 percent (expressed as a 12-month moving average), about six percentage points higher compared to other groups.

Teens and young adults were the most affected by the downturn. The unemployment rate for this group peaked at 23 percent during the recession, roughly ten points higher than that for other age cohorts.

As the recovery unfolded, the unemployment rate for all groups started to decrease. In March 2018, teens and young adults had an unemployment rate of 9.7 percent, still over 5 percentage points higher than that for other age groups. This compares to a total unemployment rate of 5.1 percent, based upon the results from the household survey.




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity

The unemployment rate in Nevada varies across race/ethnicities. Prior to the recession, the unemployment rate for Blacks was higher, at 7.4 percent (12-month moving average), compared to that for Whites at four percent. The rate for people of Hispanic origin was 4.1 percent.

During the recession, the unemployment rate for Blacks peaked at 22.6 percent, while the rate for Whites reached its peak at 13.9 percent. The rate for Hispanics topped out at 18.7 percent.

As the recovery unfolded, unemployment rates decreased across all racial/ethnic groups. In March 2018, Blacks had an unemployment rate of 9.4 percent, while the rate for Whites is 4.6 percent. The rate for Hispanics stood at 5.7 percent. The total unemployment rate, based upon CPS information, has averaged 5.1 percent over the past year.

According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, labor market differences across racial groups are associated with many factors, not all of which are measurable. These factors include variations across the groups in educational attainment, the occupations and industries in which they work, and the geographic areas in which the groups are concentrated (including urban or rural settings).



Unemployment Rate by Gender

Before the recession, both male and female unemployment rates hovered around four percent in Nevada, based upon Current Population Survey results. However, beginning in late-2007, both rates started to increase.

During the recession, the male unemployment rate peaked at 15.9 percent (measured as a 12-month average), while the female unemployment rate reached its peak at 12.7 percent. The differing results by gender are certainly a reflection of the pronounced impacts of the recession on the construction sector in the Silver State, a male-dominated industry. (Men account for nearly 80% of construction employment.)

Both rates started to decrease as the recovery unfolded. In March 2018, the male unemployment rate stood at 5.5 percent, which is 0.4 percentage points above the total unemployment rate 5.1 percent. The female rate came in at 4.7 percent.

Based upon trends over the past few years, the gap between men and women has improved, despite some random volatility. Much of the difference in unemployment rates by gender, is due to the distribution of male/female employment across different industries.



County Information

Data Sources

The LAUS Program also generates monthly unemployment rate estimates by county. However, unlike the situation for the State as a whole, the Current Population Survey cannot be utilized to generate timely and reliable county-level demographic profiles of the unemployment rate due to inadequate coverage.

For purposes of satisfying the specific requirements of Assembly Bill 354, this Report utilizes the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey (ACS) to generate demographic profiles of the unemployed by county. The ACS is a nationwide survey that provides information every year on the demographic, social, economic, and housing characteristics of the nation's population.

The Survey produces single-year and multiyear estimates. The one-year estimates provide information for geographic areas, including counties, with populations greater than 65,000. In Nevada, 15 of 17 counties do not meet that threshold.

ACS multiyear estimates produce results covering a five-year period. These estimates are relatively reliable, including for Nevada's smaller counties.

Unfortunately, in the process of generating reliable and comparable information for all counties in Nevada, timeliness becomes an issue. The most recent information covers the 2012-2016 period. In Nevada as a whole, 18,300 households (out of a total of 1.15 million) were interviewed as part of the ACS in 2016.

Due to the use of rolling 5-year average values, the persistence of differences with respect to unemployment measured by Threshold 3 may reflect outsized differences in the 3 overlapping years within the rolling sample periods necessary. For example, 2012-2016, 2011-2015, and 2010-2014 all share 2012, 2013, and 2014 in common. This may result in a false positive indication, as 60% of the observations for each period overlap, so the three "years" in question are not mutually exclusive. Care should therefore be used when only threshold 3 indicates high unemployment for a group. Please see page 14 for a detailed breakout of which groups are triggered by each threshold.

Further, since data limitations mean that county demographic data comes from a 5-year average instead of a 12-month average and comes from a different source than statewide data, statewide and county data are not directly comparable.

Areas Meeting At Least One Threshold

Most Current Data As Of: 2016			Carson City	Churchill County	Clark County	Douglas County	Elko County	Esmeralda County	Eureka County	Humboldt County	Lander County	Lincoln County	Lyon County	Mineral County	Nye County	Pershing County	Storey County	Washoe County	White Pine County
	16-19			High	High	⊢□ High	High	ய் High	Ш	工 High	_ ï	∺⊟ High	High	_≥ High	Z High	Ь	ن High	> High	S High
	Age	20-24	High High	High	High	111,611	High	111811	High	High	High	111811	High	High	High		111811	High	111811
7		25-29		J	Ŭ			High	High				High	High		High	High		High
Areas Meeting At Least One Threshold		30-34					High	High	High						High				High
		35-44										High							
		45-54				High		High	High		High	High				High	High		
o		55-59										High							
ast		60-64									High								
t Le		65-74				High													High
g A		75+					High		High				High		High			High	High
ţi	Race / Ethnicity	White						High	High										
Mee		Black			High	High		High	High		High	High	High		High		High	High	
as [Hispanic	High			High	High			High			High	High				High	High
Are		Other																	
	der	Male	High		High	High		High						High			High	High	
	Gender	Female		High			High			High			High						High
Ğ		Other																	

	Most Current Data As Of:																			
	iost cui	ileiit Da	ta As Oi.		nty		ηty		Esmeralda County	£	Humboldt County	Ϋ́	τţ		ıty		nty	>-	nty	White Pine County
	2016			City	∥ Cou	unty	Cour	unty	da Cc	Count	ldt Co	Count	Coun	unty	Cour	ınty	g Cou	ount	Cou	ine C
				Carson City	Churchill County	Clark County	Douglas County	Elko County	nera	Eureka County	mbo	Lander County	Lincoln County	Lyon County	Mineral County	Nye County	Pershing County	Storey County	Washoe County	nite P
		т	otal	ල 9.5%	<u>පි</u> 11.7%	9.6%	7.5%	<u>∺</u>	11.4%	3.8%	<u> </u> 7.7%	7.9%	9.1%	11.8%	13.0%	14.1%	3.5%	₹ 4.1%	8.0%	7.9%
			16-19	19.2%	24.0%	26.8%	29.4%	11.7%	100.0%	0.0%	28.1%	0.0%	32.2%	22.0%	63.0%	35.9%	3.8%	10.8%	19.7%	14.8%
			20-24 25-29	16.8% 7.4%	18.1% 13.1%	14.1% 10.4%	7.4% 6.7%	7.4% 7.1%	0.0%	N.A. 42.1%	21.0% 4.1%	23.6%	4.7% 0.0%	21.7% 21.4%	21.3%	29.9% 13.1%	4.9% 8.7%	0.0% 24.0%	12.4% 8.5%	0.0% 6.0%
			30-34	4.7%	11.6%	8.6%	9.9%	4.6%	32.0% 21.2%	19.2%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%	39.6% 10.4%	17.5%	4.7%	0.0%	6.2%	6.5%
	te	Age	35-44	10.6%	4.6%	8.0%	5.9%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	1.5%	15.0%	8.1%	13.0%	9.2%	1.3%	5.1%	6.4%	8.3%
	nt Ra	_	45-54 55-59	6.9% 10.1%	14.4% 10.3%	8.0% 8.7%	3.9% 7.6%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2% 3.9%	13.0%	1.5% 14.1%	11.8% 5.3%	5.9% 15.0%	12.4% 11.9%	4.2% 1.5%	7.1% 2.0%	7.2% 6.4%	9.4%
	Unemployment Rate		60-64	6.9%	6.1%	7.4%	6.9%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	31.4%	11.6%	4.9%	0.7%	8.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	3.8%
	mplo		65-74 75+	10.6%	5.9% 15.6%	8.0% 9.1%	13.1% 3.7%	2.5% 14.5%	0.0%	0.0% N.A.	1.4% 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.3% 20.2%	0.0%	5.3% 28.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7% 10.2%	17.2% 18.4%
	Une	, tı	White	9.2%	11.4%	8.9%	7.0%	4.6%	11.5%	3.8%	7.8%	6.9%	9.2%	11.1%	8.6%	13.9%	2.9%	3.8%	7.6%	7.1%
		Race / Ethnicity	Black Hispanic	0.5% 13.2%	10.2%	15.3% 9.4%	17.3% 13.4%	0.0% 5.9%	N.A. 5.6%	N.A. 0.0%	0.0% 9.5%	N.A. 7.7%	N.A. 7.0%	19.5% 13.6%	0.0% 14.5%	19.4% 8.9%	0.0% 6.2%	90.0%	10.3% 9.2%	0.0% 10.9%
			Other							0.071		,-					0.27			
		Gender	Male Female	9.9% 8.9%	10.2% 13.4%	10.0% 9.2%	8.0% 6.9%	4.9% 4.9%	12.6% 9.6%	3.5% 4.1%	7.3% 8.4%	9.2% 5.9%	9.8% 8.3%	11.5% 12.2%	16.0% 9.7%	13.4% 14.8%	3.6%	5.3% 2.9%	8.5% 7.5%	5.8% 10.4%
		Gei	Other	2.373		2,2,0	2.370		2.0,0		2,1,0	2.370	2.570		,0	,0	2,1,0	573		
		Age	16-19 20-24	203% 73%	205% 52%	279% 77%	393% 92%	239% 59%	878% 0%	0% 0%	363% 79%	0% 395%	352% 127%	186% 41%	485% 5%	255% 63%	107% 0%	264% 0%	246% 82%	187% 48%
	tal		25-29	112%	50%	83%	175%	51%	0%	0%	18%	0%	0%	113%	0%	38%	0%	0%	84%	217%
	Area ea to		30-34 35-44	72% 97%	133% 97%	95% 93%	49% 94%	296% 94%	0% 101%	101%	0% 101%	0% 87%	0% 101%	171% 94%	0% 66%	205% 99%	0% 82%	0% 93%	127% 95%	232% 90%
	% of / %) ar		45-54	5%	87%	159%	231%	0%	101/6	10176	0%	8776	101/6	165%	0%	138%	0%	2199%	129%	0%
#1:	e as (55-59 60-64	140% 0%	90% 0%	98% 0%	179% 0%	120% 0%	49% 0%	0% 0%	123% 0%	97% 0%	77% 0%	115% 0%	112% 0%	63% 0%	175% 0%	154% 0%	115% 0%	138%
Measure #1:	ıt Rat uble		65-74	105%	87%	104%	107%	100%	110%	93%	94%	116%	108%	97%	123%	96%	102%	129%	106%	74%
Mea	/mer is do		75+ White	95% 97%	115%	96%	93% 94%	101%	84%	110%	108%	75% 87%	91%	104%	74%	106%	97% 82%	70% 93%	93%	131% 90%
	nplo) Rate	Race / thnicity	Black	5%	97% 87%	93% 159%	231%	94%	101%	101%	101% 0%	87%	101%	94% 165%	66% 0%	99% 138%	0%	2199%	95% 129%	90%
	Unemployment Rate as % of Area Trigger: Rate is double (200%) area total	Race / Ethnicity	Hispanic Other	140% 0%	90% 0%	98% 0%	179% 0%	120% 0%	49% 0%	0% 0%	123% 0%	97% 0%	77% 0%	115% 0%	112% 0%	63% 0%	175% 0%	154% 0%	115% 0%	138%
	Trig	e	Male	105%	87%	104%	107%	100%	110%	93%	94%	116%	108%	97%	123%	96%	102%	129%	106%	74%
		Gender	Female Other	95% 0%	115% 0%	96% 0%	93% 0%	101% 0%	84% 0%	110% 0%	108% 0%	75% 0%	91% 0%	104% 0%	74% 0%	106% 0%	97% 0%	70% 0%	93% 0%	131%
			16-19	9.7%	12.3%	17.2%	21.9%	6.8%	88.6%	-3.8%	20.4%	-7.9%	23.1%	10.2%	50.0%	21.8%	0.3%	6.7%	11.7%	6.9%
	rea		20-24 25-29	7.3% -2.1%	6.4% 1.4%	4.5% 0.8%	-0.1% -0.8%	2.5%	-11.4% 20.6%	38.3%	13.3% -3.6%	15.7% -5.2%	-4.4% -9.1%	9.9% 9.6%	8.3% 26.6%	15.8% -1.0%	1.4% 5.2%	-4.1% 19.9%	4.4% 0.5%	-7.9% -1.9%
	or m		30-34	-4.8%	-0.1%	-1.0%	2.4%	-0.3%	9.8%	15.4%	-2.4%	-7.9%	-9.1%	-3.3%	-2.6%	3.4%	1.2%	-4.1%	-1.8%	-1.4%
	se Fro	Age	35-44 45-54	1.1% -2.6%	-7.1% 2.7%	-1.6% -1.6%	-1.6% -3.6%	-1.1% -1.2%	-11.4% -11.4%	-3.8% -3.8%	-0.3% -3.5%	-6.4% 5.1%	5.9% -7.6%	-3.7% 0.0%	0.0% -7.1%	-4.9% -1.7%	-2.2% 0.7%	1.0% 3.0%	-1.6% -0.8%	0.4% 1.5%
5	erenc s 4 p		55-59	0.6%	-1.4%	-0.9%	0.1%	-1.4%	-11.4%	-3.8%	-3.8%	-7.9%	5.0%	-6.5%	2.0%	-2.2%	-2.0%	-2.1%	-1.6%	-7.5%
Measure #2	Diffo rate i		60-64 65-74	-2.6% 1.1%	-5.6% -5.8%	-2.2% -1.6%	-0.6% 5.6%	-2.0% -2.4%	-11.4% -11.4%	-3.8% -3.8%	-1.6% -6.3%	23.5% -7.9%	2.5% -9.1%	-6.9% 1.5%	-12.3% -13.0%	-5.3% -8.8%	-3.5% -3.5%	-4.1% -4.1%	-1.4% -1.3%	-4.1% 9.3%
Jeas	Rate, ce in		75+	-2.7%	3.9%	-0.5%	-3.8%	9.6%	-11.4%	3.070	-7.7%	-7.9%	-9.1%	8.4%	-13.0%	14.7%	-3.5%	-4.1%	2.2%	10.5%
-	nent eren	/ city	White Black	-0.3% -9.0%	-0.3% -1.5%	-0.7% 5.7%	-0.5% 9.8%	-0.3% -4.9%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1% -7.7%	-1.0%	0.1%	-0.7% 7.7%	-4.4% -13.0%	-0.2% 5.3%	-0.6% -3.5%	-0.3% 85.9%	-0.4% 2.3%	-0.8% -7.9%
	Unemployment Rate, Difference From Area Trigger: Difference in rate is 4 points or more	Race / Ethnicity	Hispanic	3.7%	-1.1%	-0.2%	5.9%	1.0%	-5.8%	-3.8%	1.8%	-0.2%	-2.1%	1.8%	1.5%	-5.2%	2.7%	2.2%	1.2%	3.0%
	nem igger		Other Male	-9.5% 0.4%	-11.7% -1.5%	-9.6% 0.4%	-7.5% 0.5%	-4.9% 0.0%	-11.4% 1.2%	-3.8% -0.3%	-7.7% -0.5%	-7.9% 1.2%	-9.1% 0.7%	-11.8% -0.3%	-13.0% 3.0%	-14.1% -0.6%	-3.5% 0.1%	-4.1% 1.2%	-8.0% 0.5%	-7.9% -2.1%
	ם ב	Gender	Female	-0.5%	1.7%	-0.4%	-0.5%	0.0%	-1.8%	0.4%	0.6%	-2.0%	-0.8%	0.4%	-3.3%	0.8%	-0.1%	-1.2%	-0.6%	2.4%
		- E					-7.5%	-4.9%	-11.4%	-3.8%	-7.7%	-7.9%	-9.1%	-11.8%	-13.0%	-14.1%	-3.5%	-4.1%	-8.0%	-7.9%
		Ger	Other	-9.5%	-11.7%	-9.6%									_					
	Area rs	Ger	Other 16-19 20-24	-9.5% 3 3	-11.7% 3	-9.6% 3	3	3	3	0	3	2	3	3	3	3	1	3	3	3
	than Area e years	Ger	16-19 20-24 25-29	3 3 1	3 3 2	3 3 1	3 2 0	3 3 2	3 0 2	2	3	0	1 0	2	3 2	3	1 2	0 2	3	1 0
	gher than Area xutive years		16-19 20-24	3	3	3	2	3	3		3	2	1	3	3	3	1	0	3	1
	irs Higher than Area onsecutive years	Age	16-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-44 45-54	3 3 1 0 2	3 3 2 1 0	3 3 1 0 0	3 2 0 1 0	3 3 2 1 0	3 0 2 2 0	2 2 0	3 0 0 1 0	2 0 1 0 2	1 0 0 2 1	3 2 1 0	3 2 0 2 0	3 1 2 0	1 2 2 0 3	0 2 0 2 3	3 1 0 0	1 0 0 1 2
9#3:	e Years Higher than Area r 3 consecutive years		16-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-44	3 3 1 0 2	3 3 2 1 0	3 3 1 0	3 2 0 1 0	3 3 2 1 0	3 0 2 2 0	2 2 0	3 0 0	2 0 1 0	1 0 0 2	3 2 1 0	3 2 0 2	3 1 2 0	1 2 2 0	0 2 0 2	3 1 0	1 0 0
asure #3:	cutive Years Higher than Area er for 3 consecutive years		16-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-44 45-54 55-59 60-64 65-74	3 3 1 0 2 0 1 0 2	3 3 2 1 0 2 0 0	3 3 1 0 0 0 0 0	3 2 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 3	3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0	3 0 2 2 0 0 0 1	2 2 0 0 1 0	3 0 0 1 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 2 0 2	1 0 0 2 1 2 1 0	3 2 1 0 0 0 0	3 2 0 2 0 1 0	3 1 2 0 0 0 0	1 2 2 0 3 0 1 2	0 2 0 2 3 0 0	3 1 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 2 0 0
Measure #3:	onsecutive Years Higher than Area higher for 3 consecutive years	Age	16-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-44 45-54 55-59 60-64	3 3 1 0 2 0 1	3 3 2 1 0 2 0	3 3 1 0 0 0 0	3 2 0 1 0 0 1	3 2 1 0 0 0	3 0 2 2 0 0 1	2 2 0 0 1	3 0 0 1 0 0	2 0 1 0 2 0 2	1 0 0 2 1 2	3 2 1 0 0 0	3 2 0 2 0 1 0	3 1 2 0 0 0	1 2 2 0 3 0	0 2 0 2 3 0	3 1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 2 0
Measure #3:	e, # Consecutive Years Higher than Area ate is higher for 3 consecutive years	Age	16-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-44 45-54 55-59 60-64 65-74 75+ White Black	3 3 1 0 2 0 1 0 2 1 0 2 1	3 3 2 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 2 1	3 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 2 0 1 0 0 1 1 3 0	3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 2 2 0 0 1 0 0 0 3	2 2 0 0 1 0 0 1 3	3 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 2 0 2 0 0 0	1 0 0 2 1 2 1 0 0	3 2 1 0 0 0 0 2 2 1 3	3 2 0 2 0 1 0 0 0	3 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 2	1 2 2 0 3 0 1 2 0 0	0 2 0 2 3 0 0 0 0 2 0	3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	1 0 0 1 2 0 0 3 2 0
Measure #3:	. Rate, # Consecutive Years Higher than Area er: Rate is higher for 3 consecutive years		16-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-44 45-54 55-59 60-64 65-74 75+ White	3 3 1 0 2 0 1 0 2 1	3 3 2 1 0 2 0 0 0	3 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 2 0 1 0 0 1 1 3 0	3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 2 2 0 0 0 1 0 0	2 2 0 0 1 0 0	3 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 2 0 2 0	1 0 0 2 1 2 1 0	3 2 1 0 0 0 0 2 2	3 2 0 2 0 1 0 0 0	3 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	1 2 0 3 0 1 2 0	0 2 0 2 3 0 0 0 0	3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 2 0 0 3 2
Measure #3:	Unemp. Rate, # Consecutive Years Higher than Area Trigger: Rate is higher for 3 consecutive years	Age	16-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-44 45-54 55-59 60-64 65-74 75+ White Black Hispanic	3 3 1 0 2 0 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1	3 3 2 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 2 1 0	3 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0	3 2 0 1 0 0 1 1 3 0 0	3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 2 2 0 0 1 0 0 0 3	2 2 0 0 1 0 0 1 3	3 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 2 0 2 0 0 0	1 0 0 2 1 2 1 0 0	3 2 1 0 0 0 0 2 2 1 3 3	3 2 0 2 0 1 0 0 0 0	3 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 2	1 2 2 0 3 0 1 2 0 0	0 2 0 2 3 0 0 0 0 2 0 2	3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 3	1 0 0 1 2 0 0 3 2 0 0 3 3


Clark County Unemployment Rate

Before the recession, the unemployment rate, estimated via the LAUS Program, in Clark County hovered around four percent. During the recession, Clark's unemployment rate peaked at 14.1 percent. As the recovery unfolded, the rate started to decrease. In March 2018, Clark's unemployment rate stood at 5.1 percent down from 5.3 percent a year ago.



Clark County Unemployment Rate by Age


According to ACS five-year average estimates, in 2016, the total unemployment rate in Clark County stood at 9.6 percent (2012-2016 average). The unemployment rate for teenagers (16-19 years old), was 26.8 percent, about 18 percentage points higher than that for other age cohorts. The unemployment rate for young adults (20-24 years old) was 14.1 percent. Rates for other age groups hovered in a fairly narrow range around the overall average.



Clark County Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity


Over the 2012-2016 period, ACS estimates show that Blacks in Clark County had a relatively high unemployment rate compared to that for Whites and for Hispanics. The unemployment rate for Blacks averaged 15.3 percent over the period, well above the overall average for the period (9.6 percent). Whites had a jobless rate of 8.9 percent, while the rate for Hispanics was 9.4 percent.

As an aside, Black unemployment in Clark County is estimated to be 17,600. This represents 95 percent of the State's total for this demographic group.



Clark County Unemployment Rate by Gender

In 2016, ACS five-year estimates show that the male unemployment rate in Clark County stood at 10 percent. The female unemployment rate was 9.2 percent, 0.8 of a percentage point below the male jobless rate. Both rates are very similar to the total unemployment rate for the County, 9.6 percent.




Washoe County Unemployment Rate

Before the recession, the LAUS-based unemployment rate in Washoe County hovered around four percent. During the recession, the jobless rate peaked at 13.9 percent. As the recovery unfolded, the rate started to decrease. In March 2018, Washoe's unemployment rate stood at 4.1 percent, down from 4.6 percent a year ago.



Washoe County Unemployment Rate by Age


Based upon ACS five-year average estimates, 2016's, total unemployment rate in Washoe County stood at eight percent (2012-2016 average). The unemployment rate for teenagers (16-19 years old), was 19.7 percent, about 11 percentage points higher than that for other age cohorts. The unemployment rate for young adults (20-24 years old) was 12.4 percent, follow by the 75 years old and older group at 10.2 percent. Rates for other age groups hovered in a fairly narrow range around the overall average of eight percent.



Washoe County Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity


Over the 2012-2016 period, ACS estimates show that unemployment rate estimates disaggregated by race/ethnicity hovered in a fairly narrow range.

As an aside, Black unemployment in Washoe County is estimated to be 550. This represents three percent of the State's total for this demographic group.



Washoe County Unemployment Rate by Gender

In 2016 the male unemployment rate in Washoe County stood at 8.5 percent. The female unemployment rate was 7.5 percent, one percentage point below the male jobless rate. Both rates are very similar to the total unemployment rate for the County, eight percent.




Carson City Unemployment Rate

Before the recession, the official unemployment rate in Carson City hovered around 4.5 percent. During the recession, Carson City's unemployment rate peaked at 14.9 percent, compared to 13.7 percent statewide. As the recovery unfolded, the rate started to decrease. In March 2018, Carson's unemployment rate stood at 5.6 percent unchanged from a year ago.




Carson City Unemployment Rate by Age

In 2016, the total unemployment rate in Carson City County stood at 9.5%. The unemployment rate for teenagers (16-19 years old), was 19.2 percent, about 10 percentage points higher than that for other age cohorts. The unemployment rate for young adults (20-24 years old) was 16.8 percent follow by 35-44 and 65-74 years old groups at 10.6 percent. The age cohort with the lowest rate was the 30-34 years old at 4.7 percent.




Carson City Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity

In 2016 Hispanics in Carson City had a higher unemployment rate compared to that for Whites and for Blacks. The unemployment rate for Hispanics stood at 13.2 percent. It was higher than the total rate at 9.5 percent. Whites had a jobless rate of 9.2 percent, while the rate for Blacks was 0.5 percent.



Carson City Unemployment Rate by Gender


In 2016 the male unemployment rate in Carson City stood at 9.9 percent. The female unemployment rate was 8.9 percent, one percentage points below the male jobless rate. Both rates are very similar to the total unemployment rate for the County, 9.5 percent.




Rural County Information

Churchill County


Unemployment Rate




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity



Unemployment Rate by Age






Douglas County


Unemployment Rate




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity



Unemployment Rate by Age






Elko County


Unemployment Rate




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity



Unemployment Rate by Age






Esmeralda County


Unemployment Rate




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity




Unemployment Rate by Age






Eureka County


Unemployment Rate




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity



Unemployment Rate by Age






Humboldt County


Unemployment Rate




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity




Unemployment Rate by Age






Lander County


Unemployment Rate




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity



Unemployment Rate by Age






Lincoln County


Unemployment Rate




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity



Unemployment Rate by Age






Lyon County


Unemployment Rate




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity




Unemployment Rate by Age






Mineral County


Unemployment Rate




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity



Unemployment Rate by Age






Nye County


Unemployment Rate




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity




Unemployment Rate by Age






Pershing County


Unemployment Rate




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity




Unemployment Rate by Age






Storey County


Unemployment Rate




Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity



Unemployment Rate by Age






White Pine County

Unemployment Rate



Unemployment Rate by Race/Ethnicity



Unemployment Rate by Age

